		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		10/710,645	SAHA ET AL.
		Examiner	**Art Unit**	
		James F. Hook	3754	

All Participants:

(1) *James F. Hook.*

(2) *Mr. Broitman (applicant's rep.).*

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *14 September 2007*   **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant   ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes   ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
Dolan (478) under 35 USC 102(b)

Claims discussed:
39

Prior art documents discussed:
Dolan (478)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

JAMES HOOK
PRIMARY EXAMINER

_____   _____
(Examiner/SPE Signature)   (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: DIscussed that applicant argues that the reference to Dolan no longer met the claim language with respect that specific angles were in all the independent claims, however, claim 39 as amended only contained the subject matter of claim 40, and claims 39 and 40 were rejected under Dolan under 35 USC 102(b) and there was no discussion as to why this rejection was inappropriate, other than the mention of the specific angles which were not included in claim 39. Applicant's representative asked that claim 39 be amended to include the same subject matter added to claim 27 by examiner's amendment. Such will place the claim and application in condition for allowance..